

Excursive questions

Tue Trinh & Itai Bassi

Leibniz-Zentrum Allgemeine Sprachwissenschaft

GLOW 45

Queen Mary University of London

28/04/2022

A question about a question (act)

- (1) A: Did John use the car yesterday? ← *antecedent question (AQ)*
B: When? ← *excursive question (EQ)*
A: In the evening.
B: No. (He used it in the morning.)
- (2) Which time t is such that A asks B whether John used the car yesterday at the time t

Connectivity effects

(3) A: Which ship did they sink?

B: With what?

A: A torpedo.

B: The Japanese ship.

(4) A: Which ship sank?

B: #With what?

A: #A torpedo.

B: #The Japanese ship.

Reconstruction effects

- (5) A: Did every boy₁ write a letter?
B: To which of his₁ relatives?
A: To his₁ uncle.
B: No.

\overline{A} effects

- (6) A: Does Bill think John used the car?
B: When?
A: Yesterday.
B: No. (Bill thinks John used the car last week.)
- (7) A: Does Bill believe the claim that John used the car?
B: #When?
A: #Yesterday
B: #No.

→ EQs are not cases of echo questions

Definiteness constraint

- (8) A: Did John read the book?
B: Which book?
A: Barriers.
B: No.
- (9) A: Did John read a book?
B: #Which book?
A: #Barriers.
B: #No.

→ EQs are not cases of sluicing

(10) Main ingredients

- a. Sentences come with a syntactically represented performative prefix
- b. EQs are constructed from AQs by *merge* and *trace conversion*

(cf. Stenius, 1967; Ross, 1970; Lakoff, 1970; Gazdar, 1979; Chomsky, 1981, 1986; Krifka, 2001; Fox, 2003; Sauerland, 2004; Krifka, 2015; Sauerland and Yatsushiro, 2017; Trinh and Truckenbrodt, 2018; Hackl, 2019; Trinh, 2021)

Illustration

(11) A: Did John use the car?

[_{CP} A ASK B [_{CP} WHETHER [_{TP} John used the car

B: When?

[_{CP} B ASK A [_{CP} when_x [_{CP} A ASK B [_{CP} WHETHER [_{TP} John used the car then_x

(12) A: Did John read the book?

[_{CP} A ASK B [_{CP} WHETHER [_{TP} John read the_x book

B: Which book?

[_{CP} B ASK A [_{CP} which_x book [_{CP} A ASK B [_{CP} WHETHER [_{TP} J. read the_x book

- Chomsky, Noam. 1981. Lectures on Government and Binding. Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Foris Publications.
- Chomsky, Noam. 1986. Barriers, volume 13 of Linguistic Inquiry Monographs. Cambridge, Massachusetts: MIT Press.
- Fox, Danny. 2003. On Logical Form. In Minimalist Syntax, ed. Randall Hendrick, 82–123. Blackwell.
- Gazdar, Gerald. 1979. Pragmatics: Implicature, Presupposition and Logical Form. New York: Academic Press.
- Hackl, Martin. 2019. On Haddock's puzzle and the role of presupposition in reference resolution. Snippets 37:37–39.
- Krifka, Manfred. 2001. Quantifying into question acts. Natural Language Semantics 9:1–40.
- Krifka, Manfred. 2015. Bias in Commitment Space Semantics: Declarative questions, negated questions, and question tags. Proceedings of SALT 25:328–345.
- Lakoff, George. 1970. Linguistics and natural logic. Synthese 22:151–271.

- Ross, John Robert. 1970. On declarative sentences. In Readings in English Transformational Grammar, ed. Roderick A. Jacobs and Peter S. Rosenbaum, 222–272. Ginn.
- Sauerland, Uli. 2004. The interpretation of traces. Natural Language Semantics 12:63–127.
- Sauerland, Uli, and Kazuko Yatsushiro. 2017. Remind-me presuppositions and speech-act decomposition: Evidence from particles in questions. Linguistic Inquiry 48:651–677.
- Stenius, Erik. 1967. Mood and language games. Synthese 17:254–274.
- Trinh, Tue. 2021. Three ways of referring to discourse participants in Vietnamese. To appear in Journal of the Southeast Asian Linguistics Society .
- Trinh, Tue, and Hubert Truckenbrodt. 2018. The Participant-Pronoun Restriction: English and Vietnamese. Proceedings of the 5th NAFOSTED Conference on Information and Computer Science 317–321.